1	KELLY A. JOHNSON	
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division	
3	United States Department Of Justice DAVID B. GLAZER	
	Natural Resources Section	
4	Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department Of Justice	
5	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, California 94105	
6	Telephone: (415) 744-6491 Facsimile: (415) 744-6476	
7	e-mail: KEVIN V. RYAN	
8	United States Attorney	
9	Northern District Of California CHARLES M. O'CONNOR (SBN 56320)	
10	Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055	
11	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200	
	Facsimile: (415) 436-7234	
12	Attorneys For Plaintiff United States Of America	
13	(see next page for names of additional counsel.)	
14	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN JOSE DI	VISION
17		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the	No. CV
19	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND	CONSENT DECREE
20	GAME and CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	v. ·	
23	County of Santa Clara, Santa Clara Valley Water	
24	District, City of San Jose, Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, Myers Industries, Inc.,	
25	Buckhorn, Inc., Guadalupe Rubbish Disposal Company, Inc., and Sunoco, Inc.,	
26	Defendants.	
27	AND RELATED COUNTER, CROSS, AND THIRD PARTY ACTIONS.	
28		
	United States et al. v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV	Consent Decree

1	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California
2	MARY HACKENBRACHT Senior Assistant Attorney General
3	GAVIN G. McCABE, State Bar No. 130864 Deputy Attorney General
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
5	San Francisco, California 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5605 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
6	e-mail:
7	Attorneys for State of California, by and through
8	the California Department of Fish and Game and California State Lands Commission
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	, ·
25	ý.
26	<b>,</b>
27	

United States et al. v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

28

Consent Decree

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	I. INTRODUCTION
3	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE4
4	III. APPLICABILITY OF DECREE5
5	IV. DEFINITIONS5
6	V. PAYMENTS 8
7	VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK9
8	VH. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS12
9	VIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS FOR NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGES14
10	IX. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS15
11	X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION16
12	XI. PENALTIES FOR LATE AND/OR INADEQUATE PERFORMANCE18
13	XII. FORCE MAJEURE20
14	XIII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION21
15	XIV. RETENTION OF RECORDS22
16	XV. CERTIFICATE AND SIGNATURE24
17	XVI. ENTIRE AGREEMENT24
18	XVII. MODIFICATION24
19	XVIII. TERMINATION25
20	XIX. PUBLIC COMMENT25
21	XX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION26
22	XXI. NOTICE26
23	XXII. JUDGMENT30
24	
25	j.
26	
27	
28	

28

This Consent Decree ("Decree") is made and entered into by and among the United States of America ("the United States"), on behalf of itself and the Department of the Interior ("DOI"), and the State of California ("State"), by and through the California Department of Fish and Game ("CDFG") and the California State Lands Commission ("SLC"), as trustees for State Natural Resources (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), and certain of the defendants in this action (collectively, the "Settling Defendants").

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- The United States, on behalf of DOI in its capacity as natural resource trustee, and A. the CDFG and SLC in their capacities as natural resource trustees for Natural Resources of the State of California (collectively, the "Trustees"), concurrently with the filing of this Consent Decree, have filed Complaints ("Complaints") in this action under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607, and various State laws, seeking, inter alia, recovery of damages, including damage assessment costs, for injury to, destruction of, and loss of natural resources resulting from releases into the environment of inorganic and organic mercury and hazardous substances contained in mining waste (hereafter, collectively, "Hazardous Substances").
- The Complaints allege that the Defendants (i) are or were owners or operators of В. facilities in the Guadalupe River Watershed, as defined herein, or (ii) are or were persons who arranged for the disposal of Hazardous Substances at or from facilities in the Guadalupe River Watershed, or (iii) are persons who are successors to or otherwise legally responsible for the acts and omissions of persons who were owners or operators of facilities in the Guadalupe River Watershed or who arranged for disposal of Hazardous Substances at facilities in the Guadalupe River Watershed, from which Plaintiffs allege there have been releases of Hazardous Substances into the environment.
- In February 2000, DOI issued a Preassessment Screen Determination ("PSD") C. regarding injuries to natural resources arising from historical and continuing releases of mercury, and of other metals resulting from or associated with historic mining within the Guadalupe River Watershed. In that PSD, DOI determined that sufficient information existed for it to pursue a United States and State of California v. 1 Consent Decree

claim for Natural Resource Damages for such releases, including damages for injury to soil, surface water, and sediment in the Guadalupe River Watershed, as well as for injuries to biological resources using those resources, including vegetation, invertebrates, amphibians, fish species, and piscivorous birds. DOI alleges that it took these actions pursuant to CERCLA, DOI's Natural Resource Damages regulations, 43 C.F.R. Part 11 (1998), and Executive

Order 12580, as amended by Executive Order 13016.

D. The Trustees (other than the SLC) and some of the Settling Defendants entered into a Cooperative Agreement, dated September 21, 2001, pursuant to which they reviewed available data and cooperatively assessed the nature and extent of injuries, if any, to natural resources arising from the alleged releases (the "Cooperative Process"). To expedite, and to otherwise reduce the cost of, performing the assessment, the parties to the Cooperative Process agreed to use the Resource and/or Habitat Equivalency (REA/HEA) methodology. The Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region ("Regional Board") participated in early meetings of the Trustees and PRPs, but it did not sign the Cooperative Agreement and is not asserting any rights as a trustee in connection with this action. The Regional Board staff are familiar with the conditions addressed by this Consent Decree, have reviewed the terms of this Consent Decree, and have notified the Office of the State Attorney General that the Regional Board will not file an action for natural resource damages with respect to the contamination alleged in this action. The letter of the Regional Board is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

E. Much of the data upon which the PSD was based was collected prior to 1997. In entering this Decree, Plaintiffs recognize that Santa Clara County has since conducted, with financial contribution from Myers Industries, Inc. and Buckhorn, Inc., substantial remediation (the "Remediation") of the mercury mining contamination at the New Almaden mining district, under the oversight of the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") and with input from the Regional Board, as well as federal agencies including the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The Remediation addressed mining wastes containing mercury located within and around the original mining area. In the first phase of the Remediation, such mining wastes from several areas within the Hacienda Furnace Yard were excavated, consolidated, and capped

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

in the Hacienda Furnace Yard area. In the second phase, such mining wastes were excavated, consolidated, and capped in the Mine Hill Area. Similarly, the Santa Clara Valley Water District has carried out recent capital projects and maintenance activities in and along the Guadalupe River and its tributaries that have included the removal of substantial quantities of sediment and soil contaminated by mining wastes containing mercury and other Hazardous Substances.

- The Plaintiffs also recognize that there are multiple sources of Hazardous F. Substances in the Guadalupe River Watershed, including multiple sources for which the Settling Defendants allege they have no legal responsibility. In the Cooperative Process, certain participating Parties in a position to do so have sought to coordinate their assessment with other activities and processes addressing other sources of mercury in the Guadalupe River Watershed, including the development of Total Maximum Daily Loads ("TMDLs") under the Clean Water Act (see 33 USC § 1313(d)) through, inter alia, the San Francisco Bay and Guadalupe River Watershed Mercury Total Maximum Daily Load efforts and the Mercury Load Reduction Project ("Guadalupe Mercury TMDL"). Issues relating to the control and reduction of releases of Hazardous Substances and/or the enhancement of natural resources within the Guadalupe River Watershed are also being addressed through the Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program and in other cooperative processes, including the Regional Board's Watershed Management Initiative for the Santa Clara Basin, the Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat Collaborative Effort, and the Guadalupe River Flood Control Project Collaborative (collectively, "Other Processes").
- G. The projects described in Paragraph 7, below, will address all known significant mining waste deposits remaining within and about the Almaden Quicksilver County Park and are actions principally to be undertaken to restore or rehabilitate the injured resources that are the subject of the Complaints. The balance of the Work that will be undertaken by the Settling Defendants constitutes the replacement or acquisition of equivalent resources providing the same or substantially equivalent services as those that had been provided by the injured natural resources (consistent with 43 C.F.R. § 11.82).
- H. CERCLA and its implementing regulations require that the Trustees seek input
   United States and State of California v.
   County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

14

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

from the public before implementing a restoration plan to address injured natural resources. Consequently, the Parties acknowledge that entry of the Decree after lodging will be deferred to allow the time necessary for the Trustees to obtain public comment on this Decree and on a draft restoration plan that proposes the Work described in Section VI of this Decree, as further provided in Section XIX of this Consent Decree. A copy of the draft restoration plan is attached as Exhibit B to this Consent Decree.

- The Trustees have undertaken a restoration planning process to determine the I. restoration projects that will most effectively restore or compensate for the lost use of the injured resources. The details for specific projects are contained in the draft restoration plan at Sections 4.3.1.1 through 4.3.2.3 and are summarized in Section VI of this Consent Decree. A final restoration plan will be adopted by the Trustees after final approval of this Consent Decree by the Court, after provision of notice, opportunity for public input, and consideration of public comments on the Decree and attached draft restoration plan.
- This settlement is made in good faith after arm's-length negotiations. The Parties J. agree, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith, that settlement of this matter and entry of this Decree will avoid complicated and potentially costly litigation between the Parties, is the most appropriate means to resolve the matters covered herein, and is fair, reasonable, consistent with the purposes of CERCLA, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, with the consent of the Parties to this Decree, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

# II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Plaintiffs have alleged that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter 1. of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, and 1367; Sections 107 and 113(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613(b); California Fish and Game Code sections 2104, 5650, and 5650.1; and the common law of nuisance; that the Court has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants; and that venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c) and 1395(a) and Section 113(b) of CERCLA. For purposes of this Consent Decree, only, the Settling United States and State of California v. 4 Consent Decree

Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District.

#### III. APPLICABILITY OF DECREE

2. The obligations of this Consent Decree apply to and are binding upon the Plaintiffs and their departments, agencies and instrumentalities, and upon the Settling Defendants and their respective successors and assigns.

# IV. DEFINITIONS

- 3. This Decree incorporates the definitions set forth in Section 101 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601, and in Section 11.14 of the Natural Resource Damages ("NRD") regulations, 43 CFR § 11.14. In addition, whenever the following terms are used in this Decree, they shall have the following meanings:
- A. "Damage Assessment Costs" shall mean all costs associated with the planning, design, implementation, and oversight of the Trustees' damage assessment process, which addresses the extent and quantification of the injury to, destruction of, or loss of Natural Resources and the services provided by these resources resulting from the alleged releases of Hazardous Substances, and with the planning of restoration or replacement of such Natural Resources and the services provided by those resources, or the planning of the acquisition of equivalent resources or services, and any other costs necessary to carry out the Trustees' responsibilities with respect to those Natural Resources injuries resulting directly or indirectly from the alleged releases of Hazardous Substances, including all related enforcement costs.
- B. "Date of Entry of this Decree" shall mean the date on which the District Court has approved and entered this Decree as a judgment.
- C. "Date of Final Approval of this Decree" shall mean (1) the Date of Entry of this Decree, or (2) if an appeal is taken after entry, the date on which the District Court's judgment is affirmed and there is no further right to appellate review.
- D. "Date of Lodging of this Decree" shall mean the date that this Decree is lodged with the Court, subject to the public comment period referred to in Section XIX of this Decree.
- E. "Natural Resource Damages" shall mean all damages, including loss of use,
  United States and State of California v.
  County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

  5 Consent Decree

1	restoration costs, resource replacement costs, or equivalent resource values, Damage Assessment	
2	Costs, and any other costs or losses that have been incurred in the past or will be incurred in the	
3	future by the United States, the State of California, or any other person pursuant to Trustee	
4	approval, authorization, or direction, with respect to injury to, destruction of, or loss of any and	
5	all natural resources resulting either directly or indirectly from the releases of Hazardous	
6	Substances in the Guadalupe River Watershed, including any continuing releases.	
7	F. "Natural Resources" shall have that meaning set forth in Section 101(16) of	
8	CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(16).	
9	G. "Guadalupe River Watershed" for purposes of this Decree shall mean (i) the	
10	Guadalupe River and all its tributary streams, including without limitation Alamitos Creek,	
11	Guadalupe Creek, and Arroyo Calero, and the associated tributaries, reservoirs, impoundments,	
12	banks and sediments of each of the foregoing; (ii) all areas that drain water or sediment into the	
13	waters described in (i); and (iii) that area of San Francisco Bay south of the Dumbarton Bridge.	
14	H. "Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the	
15	EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on	
16	October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest	
17	shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change	
18	on October 1 of each year.	
19	I. "Parties" shall mean the United States; the State of California, by and through the	
20	CDFG and SLC; and the Settling Defendants.	
21	J. "Settling Defendants" shall mean the Defendants who have signed this Consent	
22	Decree as described below:	
23	(1) The County of Santa Clara ("County") shall mean the County	
24	of Santa Clara, located in the State of California, and its	
25	departments, agencies and instrumentalities;	
26	(2) Santa Clara Valley Water District ("SCVWD") shall mean the	
27	Santa Clara Valley Water District, located in the State of	
28	California, and its departments, agencies and instrumentalities;	
	United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV  6 Consent Decree	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- (3) Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District ("MROSD") shall mean the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, located in the State of California, and its departments, agencies and instrumentalities;
- (4) The City of San Jose shall mean the City of San Jose, located in the State of California, and its departments, agencies and instrumentalities;
- Guadalupe Rubbish Disposal Company, Inc., shall include its (5) parent corporations, consisting of USA Waste of California, Inc., Waste Management Holdings, Inc., and Waste Management, Inc.; and incorporators and former officers, directors and/or shareholders of Guadalupe Rubbish Disposal Company, Inc., consisting of James L. Zanardi, Joseph A. Zanardi, Dennis C. Varni, David K. Cecich, James L. Zanardi and Randi J. Zanardi, individually and as Co-Trustees of the Zanardi Living Trust dated March 29, 1990; Joseph A. Zanardi and Elizabeth E. Zanardi, individually and as Co-Trustees of the Zanardi Living Trust dated September 18, 1989; Dennis C. Varni and Kathleen D. Varni, individually and as Co-Trustees of the Varni Living Trust dated November 13, 1988; and Lori R. Cecich and David K. Cecich, individually and as Co-Trustees of The Cecich Family 1986 Trust dated November 18, 1986;
- (6) Myers Industries, Inc. ("Myers") (an Ohio corporation) and its officers, directors, and employees acting in their capacities as such;
- (7) Buckhorn, Inc. ("Buckhorn") (an Ohio Corporation) and its officers, directors and employees acting in their capacities as

1	such; and
2	(8) Sunoco, Inc. ("Sunoco").
3	K. "United States" shall mean the United States of America, including its
4	departments, agencies, and instrumentalities.
5	L. "State of California" shall mean the CDFG and SLC.
6	M. "Work" shall mean implementation by the Settling Defendants of (i) those
7	activities that are generally described in Section VI, Paragraphs 6-11, of this Consent Decree and
8	more particularly described in Exhibit B to this Consent Decree, at Sections 4.3.1.1. through
9	4.3.2.3, which Sections are hereby incorporated as a part of this Decree, or (ii) any project or in
10	lieu payment authorized by Paragraph 7.e of this Decree.
11	V. PAYMENTS
12	4. Sunoco shall pay Plaintiffs \$85,000 within ten (10) business days of the Date of
13	Entry of this Consent Decree, such payment to be made to DOI pursuant to the provisions of
14	Paragraph 5 of this Decree.
15	5. Sunoco shall make payment to DOI by electronic fund transfer ("EFT") to the U.S.
16	Department of Justice in accordance with instructions to be provided to Sunoco following lodging
17	of the Decree by the Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern
18	District of California. At the time of payment, Sunoco shall send written notice of payment and a
19	copy of any transmittal documentation (which should reference DOJ case number 90-11-2-07048)
20	to the Parties in accordance with Section XXI of this Decree and to:
21	Charles McKinley, Esq. Office of the Solicitor
22	U.S. Department of the Interior 1111 Jackson Street, Suite 735
23	Oakland, California 94607
24	and
25	Bruce Nesslage  OOI Restoration Fund Manager
26	1849 "C" Street, N.W. Mail Stop 4449
27	Washington, D.C. 20240
28	

The EFT and transmittal letters shall reflect that the payment is being made to the "Natural Resources Damage Assessment and Restoration Fund, Account No. 14X5198." DOI will assign those funds a special project number to allow the funds to be maintained as a segregated account (the "Guadalupe River Watershed NRD Account") within the DOI Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration Fund.

#### VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK

The Settling Defendants having responsibilities relating to each project in the 6. Work described below (Responsible Settling Defendants) shall finance and, as specified in more detail below, commence and complete performance of the Work in accordance with the terms and schedules contained in Sections 4.3.1.1 through 4.3.2.3 of Exhibit B, and any design and work plans approved by the Trustees, which terms, schedules, and design and work plans are incorporated in and shall be enforceable under this Decree.

#### Hacienda Furnace Yard and Jacques Gulch Projects 7.

- To restore or rehabilitate allegedly injured natural resources, the a. Responsible Settling Defendants, as identified more specifically in Subparagraph c, below, shall properly consolidate and cap onsite those calcine tailings piles identified at or near the Hacienda Furnace Yard along Alamitos Creek, as more specifically described in Section 4.3.1.1 of Exhibit B, and further shall remove non-native plants, revegetate with native plants, and otherwise enhance the riparian habitat in the areas described in that Section ("Hacienda Project").
- To restore or rehabilitate the allegedly injured natural resources, the b. Responsible Settling Defendant(s), as identified more specifically in Subparagraph d, below, shall properly consolidate and cap onsite those calcine tailings piles identified in the area below Mine Hill known as Jacques Gulch, as more specifically described in Section 4.3.1.2 of Exhibit B, and further shall remove non-native plants, revegetate with native plants, and otherwise enhance the riparian habitat in the areas as described in that Section ("Jacques Gulch Project").
- The County shall implement the Hacienda Project. The obligations of C. Myers and Buckhorn under Section VI of this Decree shall consist of making financial contributions to the County's implementation of the Hacienda Project as has been agreed to in a United States and State of California v. 9 Consent Decree

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

separate agreement between the County and Myers and Buckhorn. The implementation of the Hacienda Project is contingent on the issuance of permits and approvals for both the Hacienda and Jacques Gulch Projects as provided in Par. 7.e below. The County, at its option, may schedule its work on the Hacienda Project to begin only after SCVWD's commencement of onsite work on the Jacques Gulch Project.

- d. SCVWD shall implement the Jacques Gulch Project. The obligations of the County for the Jacques Gulch Project under this Decree shall be to permit the SCVWD access to those project areas on County property, to permit the consolidation of the subject materials within the Almaden Quicksilver Park, to maintain and monitor the area of consolidation, without charge, and as otherwise agreed to between the SCVWD and the County in a separate agreement. The obligations of Guadalupe Rubbish for the Jacques Gulch Project under this Decree shall consist of making financial or in-kind contributions to the SCVWD's implementation of the Jacques Gulch Project, pursuant to a separate agreement between the SCVWD and Guadalupe Rubbish.
- e. All Work required under this Paragraph 7 is contingent on approval by the appropriate State of California and federal agencies of the consolidation and capping of any excavated material at an appropriate location within the Almaden Quicksilver County Park, and the issuance of any permits, certifications, and approvals necessary to perform the Work (including, without limitation, approval of work within the streambed of Alamitos Creek, including temporary diversion of that stream) without mitigation obligations ("Approvals"). If such Approvals are not obtained for both the Hacienda and Jacques Gulch Projects, the Responsible Settling Defendants will meet and confer with Plaintiffs to consider (1) alternate means of implementing the Projects subject to the additional Approval requirements, (2) alternative projects of comparable cost to the Responsible Settling Defendants and comparable benefit to the resources in question, or (3) payment of monetary Natural Resource Damages in lieu of project performance. If the Parties agree that there are comparable alternatives, the Responsible Settling Defendants shall have the right to select the alternative to be implemented from among those alternatives. The Responsible Settling Defendants will be entitled to relief United States and State of California v.

under Section XII of this Consent Decree (Force Majeure) for any delay in performance resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any Approval required for the Hacienda or Jacques Gulch Projects, provided that they have timely submitted applications and other materials needed to obtain such Approvals as provided in Paragraph 11, below, and provided that the other requirements of Section XII of this Consent Decree are met.

8. <u>Hillsdale Bridge Project</u>. To replace, in part, those lost services resulting from the alleged injuries to Natural Resources, the City of San Jose ("City") has implemented this project, as more fully described in Section 4.3.2 of Exhibit B, by removing or having caused to be removed the concrete barrier to fish passage located at the Hillsdale bridge on the Guadalupe River and planting the adjacent areas with appropriate native plants.

# 9. Coyote Creek Project

- a. To further replace, in part, those lost services resulting from the alleged injuries to Natural Resources, the Responsible Settling Defendant(s), as identified more specifically in Subparagraph b, below, shall undertake a project to enhance the riparian habitat along Coyote Creek downstream of Anderson Reservoir, by removing Arundo along a portion of that stream and re-planting appropriate native plants, as more specifically described in Section 4.3.2.1 of Exhibit B.
- b. The SCVWD shall implement the Coyote Creek Project. The obligation of the County for the Coyote Creek Project under this Decree shall be to permit the SCVWD to access the project area, without charge, and as may otherwise be agreed to pursuant to a separate agreement between the SCVWD and the County. The obligation of Guadalupe Rubbish and the City for the Coyote Creek Project under this Decree shall be as otherwise agreed to in separate agreements between those Parties and the SCVWD.
- 10. Ravenswood Marsh Project. To further replace, in part, those lost services resulting from the alleged injuries to natural resources, the MROSD shall, for five (5) years, pay for a predator control program at the Ravenswood Marsh, as more fully described in Section 4.3.2.3 of Exhibit B, for the benefit of the Clapper Rail, a species listed as threatened under Section 4(c) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1533(c). In addition, the MROSD shall United States and State of California v.

  County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

maintain the Ravenswood Marsh, in perpetuity, as open space and habitat for the Clapper Rail. Within thirty (30) days of the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, the MROSD shall record a memorandum of this Decree in the appropriate land title records for San Mateo County and shall provide the Trustees with a conformed copy of the recorded memorandum. The memorandum shall contain a statement that "the referenced Decree requires that Ravenswood Marsh shall be maintained, in perpetuity, as open space and habitat for the Clapper Rail."

All Work undertaken by the Settling Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be 11. performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and State of California laws and regulations. Where any portion of the Work requires a federal, State, or local permit, certification, or approval, the responsible Settling Defendants shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits, certifications, or approvals, where required. The Trustees will cooperate with the Settling Defendants, as necessary and to the extent permitted by law, in undertaking actions to obtain and/or process such permits, certifications, and approvals in a timely manner. This Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or State of California statute or regulation, nor shall it be construed in any way to affect any past, current, or future obligation of the Settling Defendants or any other person or entity to comply with any federal, State of California, or local law.

# VII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS

Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 15 of this Decree, the United States 12. and the State of California, by and through the CDFG and SLC as trustees for the State of California's Natural Resources, covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against the Settling Defendants for Natural Resource Damages under CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(f), or other federal, State or common law, for injuries to soil, surface water, or sediment, as well as for injuries to biological resources using those resources, including vegetation, invertebrates, amphibians, fish species, and piscivorous birds, resulting from releases of Hazardous Substances into the environment in the Guadalupe River Watershed, including any continuing releases. These United States and State of California v.

15

18

17

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

covenants take effect upon the Entry of this Decree and are contingent upon satisfactory completion of the Work and the payment of the amount required in Section V; should any portion of the Work not be completed satisfactorily, or any amount required by Section V not be paid, the Plaintiffs shall be excused from this covenant only with respect to Settling Defendants having responsibilities relating to that portion of the Work or any amount unpaid. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to preclude or limit the United States or the State of California, through the Regional Board, from exercising authorities that may be available to them under the Clean Water Act or the Porter Cologne Water Quality Control Act, as applicable, including but not limited to permitting and enforcement under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program, adoption and implementation of TMDLs, including but not limited to TMDLs for mercury in the Guadalupe Watershed and the San Francisco Bay, and issuance of cleanup orders, waste discharge requirements, and water quality certifications. Nor is anything in this Paragraph intended to preclude or limit the United States or DTSC, or any other State agency, as appropriate, from taking any response actions pursuant to their authority under CERCLA or other applicable law.

- 13. The United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against CDFG, SLC, or the California Department of Transportation ("CalTrans") for Natural Resource Damages under CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(f), or other federal law, for injuries to soil, surface water, or sediment, as well as for injuries to biological resources using those resources, including vegetation, invertebrates, amphibians, fish species, and piscivorous birds, resulting from releases of Hazardous Substances into the environment in the Guadalupe River Watershed, including any continuing releases. These covenants take effect upon the Entry of this Decree.
- 14. The State of California, by and through the CDFG and SLC as trustees for the State of California's Natural Resources, and CalTrans covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against the United States for Natural Resource Damages under CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(f), or other federal, State or common law, for injuries to soil, surface water, or sediment, as well as for injuries to biological resources United States and State of California v. 13 Consent Decree

using those resources, including vegetation, invertebrates, amphibians, fish species, and piscivorous birds, resulting from releases of Hazardous Substances into the environment in the Guadalupe River Watershed, including any continuing releases. These covenants take effect upon the Entry of this Decree.

# VIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS FOR NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGES

- 15. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Decree, the United States and the State of California reserve the right to institute proceedings against the Settling Defendants in this action or in a new action seeking recovery of Natural Resource Damages (1) based on injury to, destruction of, or loss of Natural Resources resulting from conditions that were unknown to the Trustees as of the Date of Lodging of this Decree ("Unknown Conditions"), or (2) based on information received by the Trustees after the Date of Lodging of this Decree that indicates that there is injury to, destruction of, or loss of Natural Resources of a type unknown to the Trustees as of the Date of Lodging of this Decree ("New Information").
- 16. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Decree, the covenants not to sue in Paragraph 12 shall apply only to matters addressed in that Paragraph and specifically shall not apply to the following claims:
  - a. claims based on a failure by a Settling Defendant to satisfy any requirement imposed upon it by this Decree;
    - b. claims for criminal liability; and
  - c. claims arising from the past, present or future disposal, release, or threat of release of hazardous substances not addressed in this Decree. Releases of hazardous substances or Natural Resource Damages resulting from activities undertaken by or at the direction of Plaintiffs, including pursuant to the terms of this Decree, shall be deemed not to be included in this Subparagraph c.

Further, the Parties understand that agreement to this Decree does not, by its terms, relieve any Party of obligations that may be imposed pursuant to the implementation of TMDLs, although it is recognized that the Settling Defendants' implementation of the projects identified in

United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

Paragraph 7 will directly address the objective of the Guadalupe Mercury TMDL and the TMDL for mercury in the San Francisco Bay, and the Settling Defendants shall not be precluded from claiming credit for their activities pursuant to this Decree with respect to the establishment of requirements pursuant to the TMDLs, Other Processes, or other legal proceedings by application of the pre-existing duty rule with respect to the obligations made pursuant to this Decree or otherwise.

- 17. For purposes of Paragraph 15, "Unknown Conditions" or "New Information" shall not include or pertain to (i) a change only in Plaintiffs' quantification of Natural Resource Damages arising out of the past and/or continuing releases of Hazardous Substances alleged by Plaintiffs in this action; and/or (ii) damages based on releases of hazardous substances other than Hazardous Substances as defined herein, unless Plaintiffs can demonstrate that such releases resulted in an injury different in type than those alleged in this action.
- 18. No information shall be deemed "new," and no condition shall be deemed "unknown," if the information or condition is contained or identified in, or could be reasonably determined from, documents and data in the possession of CDFG, DTSC, the Regional Board, DOI, or Region IX of the U.S. EPA, on or before the Date of Entry of this Decree.

# IX. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

- 19. Subject to Paragraph 20, the Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue or to assert any administrative claims or causes of action against the United States or against the State of California with respect to the Work set forth in, or payments required by, this Decree or in connection with the Cooperative Process ("Settling Defendant Claims"), including, but not limited to:
- a. any direct or indirect Settling Defendant Claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113 or any other provision of law;
- b. any Settling Defendant Claims against the United States or the State of California, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States or the State of California, under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113;

- c. any Settling Defendant Claims against the Guadalupe River Watershed

  NRD Account; or
- d. any Settling Defendant Claims under the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law.
- 20. The Settling Defendants reserve their right to contest any claims alleged to be reserved by Section VIII of this Decree, and the Settling Defendants do not by consenting to this Decree waive any defenses to such claims, except that the Settling Defendants covenant not to assert, and may not maintain, any defense based upon principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defense based upon the contention that the claims that are allowed by Section VIII of this Decree were or should have been brought in the instant case. In the event that either the United States or the State of California brings any claim not settled by this Decree, or pursuant to Section VIII of this Decree, the Settling Defendants reserve the right to assert all potential counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims against the United States or the State of California arising from such claim. Nothing in this Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611.

# X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 21. The Settling Defendants do not admit any of Plaintiffs' allegations or claims set forth herein and deny any liability for Plaintiffs' claims against the Defendants set forth in the Complaint.
- 22. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Decree may have under applicable law. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action that each Party may have against any person not a Party hereto.
- 23. The Parties agree, and the Court hereby finds, adjudges and decrees, that (1) the United States and State of California v.

  County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

  County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

28

Parties have fully negotiated the terms of this Consent Decree at arms length with the assistance and advice of competent, independent counsel; (2) the consideration exchanged and commitments made herein are reasonable in the context of the rights and responsibilities of the Parties and their potential liabilities; (3) public notice (including a properly noticed public comment period) of the opportunity for submitting comments on the terms and conditions of this settlement has been provided; and (4) the settlement reflected herein is made in good faith and is neither fraudulent nor collusive, nor affected by any fraud or collusion. Accordingly, the Parties agree, and the Court hereby finds, orders, adjudges, and decrees, that this Consent Decree represents a fair, adequate, reasonable, equitable, and good-faith settlement, and that therefore the Settling Defendants are entitled to contribution protection provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), or otherwise provided by State or common law, for matters addressed by this Consent Decree. "Matters addressed" in this Consent Decree include all Natural Resource Damages with respect to releases of Hazardous Substances within the Guadalupe River Watershed, as defined herein, including continuing releases. The "Matters Addressed" in this Consent Decree do not include those claims as to which any Party has reserved its rights under this Consent Decree (except for claims for failure to comply with this Decree), in the event that any Party asserts rights against another coming within the scope of such reservations.

- 24. The Settling Defendants agree that, with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Consent Decree, they will notify the United States and the State of California in writing no later than sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim, unless the giving of such advance notice would subject such suit or claim to a defense that it is barred by the statute or limitations or other time-related defense.
- 25. The Settling Defendants also agree that, with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Consent Decree, they will notify in writing the United States and the State of California within ten (10) days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and the State of California within ten (10) days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment with respect to such a claim, and within ten (10) days of receipt of any order from a court setting United States and State of California v.

# XI. PENALTIES FOR LATE AND/OR INADEQUATE PERFORMANCE (INCLUDING PAYMENTS)

26. If the payment required of Sunoco by Paragraph 4 is not made by the date specified in that Paragraph, or the Work required of the Settling Defendants responsible for implementation of the Work described in Section VI of this Decree is not performed in accordance with this Decree, Sections 4.3.1.1 through 4.3.2.3 of Exhibit B hereto, or any approved work plans, unless excused by a Force Majeure in accordance with Section XII of this Decree, those Settling Defendants responsible for implementing the particular Work obligation, making the payment, or submitting the report in question shall be jointly and severally liable for the following amounts for, respectively, each day of delay in performance, payment, or late/deficient report:

Days of Delay	Payment Per Day of Delay:	Late Payment	Late/Deficient Report
1-14	\$ 500/day	\$ 2000/day	\$ 500/day
15-60	\$ 1000/day	\$ 3000/day	\$ 750/day
Beyond 60 Days	\$ 2500/day	\$ 4000/day	\$ 1000/day

27. Payments due under the preceding Paragraph shall be paid by certified check and disbursed 50 percent to the United States and 50 percent to CDFG. Subject to Paragraph 29, below, stipulated penalties are due within thirty (30) days following receipt by a Settling Defendant of a written demand by Plaintiffs for payment of such stipulated penalties. Stipulated penalties owing to the United States shall, as directed by the United States, be paid by certified or cashier's check in the amount due payable to the "U.S. Department of Justice," referencing DOJ No. 90-11-2-07048, and shall be delivered to the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of California, Financial Litigation Unit, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055, San Francisco, California 94102. Notice of such payment shall be sent to the Plaintiffs as provided in Section XXI of this Decree.

County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

sanctions that may be available to the Plaintiffs on account of a Settling Defendant's failure to comply with the terms of this Decree.

# XII. FORCE MAJEURE

- 33. "Force Majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of the responsible Settling Defendants, their contractors, or any entity controlled by Settling Defendants that delays the performance of any Work obligation under this Consent Decree despite Settling Defendants' best efforts to fulfill the obligation. "Best efforts" include using best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and to address the effects of any such event (a) as it is occurring and (b) after it has occurred, such that the delay is minimized to the extent reasonably possible. "Force Majeure" does not include the Settling Defendants' financial inability to perform any obligation under this Consent Decree. "Force Majeure" shall otherwise be deemed to include a delay in performance of the Work required pursuant to Section VI provided that the requirements of Paragraph 34 are addressed.
- 34. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any Work obligation under this Decree, as to which a Settling Defendant intends to assert a claim of Force Majeure, the Settling Defendant shall provide notice in writing, as provided in Section XXI of this Decree (Notice), within fourteen (14) days from the time a responsible representative of the Settling Defendant first knew of, or by the exercise of due diligence should have known of, the event. Such notification shall include an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; a description of all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; and the Settling Defendant's rationale as to why the implementation plan is adequate. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Plaintiffs, failure to comply with the above requirements shall preclude a Settling Defendant from asserting any claim of Force Majeure.
- 35. A Settling Defendant shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence that the Settling Defendant gave timely Notice as required by the preceding Paragraph;

  United States and State of California v.
  County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

  Consent Decree

that the Settling Defendant used best efforts to prevent or minimize any delay attributable to the event; and that any period of delay was attributable to that event. Delays "attributable" to a Force Majeure include further delays resulting from the passing of construction seasons that may interfere with the implementation of any requirement following the initial Force Majeure event.

the provisions of this Section, the Trustees shall stipulate to an extension of time for a Settling Defendant's performance of the affected requirement pursuant to the implementation plan presented with the Notice or as otherwise agreed upon. In such circumstances, the appropriate modification shall be deemed to have been made pursuant to Section XVII of this Consent Decree (Modification) and shall be deemed to have been incorporated into Sections 4.3.1.1 through 4.3.2.3 of Exhibit B. In the event the affected Parties cannot agree, the matter shall be resolved in accordance with Section XIII of this Consent Decree (Dispute Resolution). The penalties provided for by Section XI shall not accrue during the period between provision of Notice pursuant to Paragraph 34 and the resolution of any dispute under Section XIII of this Decree, provided that the Notice is substantially justified. An extension of time for performance of the obligations affected by a Force Majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation.

#### XIII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 37. This Section provides the exclusive mechanism for resolution of disputes arising under this Consent Decree, subject to the provisions of Section XVII of this Decree (Modification). However, except as otherwise provided in Section XII, such procedures shall not apply to actions by the Plaintiffs to enforce obligations of a Settling Defendant that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section.
- 38. Any dispute shall be, in the first instance, the subject of informal negotiations between the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendant(s) invoking Dispute Resolution. Such period of informal negotiations shall not extend beyond twenty (20) days after date that notice of a dispute is given by a Settling Defendant, unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Plaintiffs.
- 39. If informal negotiations do not result in resolution of the dispute, then the
   United States and State of California v.
   County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV
   21 Consent Decree

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiffs' position shall prevail, unless the Settling Defendant exercises its right to petition the Court in accordance with this Section. The Settling Defendant may petition the Court within thirty (30) calendar days of the end of the informal negotiations period for resolution of the dispute. The petition shall set forth the nature of the dispute and a proposal for its resolution. Further briefing and argument on the petition will comply with the requirements of the Local Rules for the Northern District of California, subject to such modifications as may be sought from the Court.
- 40. In all disputes under this Section, the Settling Defendant(s) shall bear the burden of proof/persuasion.
- Except as otherwise provided in Section XII, the invocation of dispute resolution 41. under this Section shall not extend, postpone, or affect in any way any obligation of a Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless the Plaintiffs or the Court agrees otherwise.

# XIV. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- 42. Until three years after completion of the Work required by this Decree, each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or that come into its possession or control, that relate to the identification, nature, and quantity of mercury in the Guadalupe River Watershed, the nature and extent of alleged releases of Hazardous Substances from the Guadalupe River Watershed, or the pathway of any alleged release of any mercury to or from the Guadalupe River Watershed. This obligation does not apply to records or documents previously exchanged between the Settling Defendants and the Plaintiffs prior to the Date of Lodging of this Decree. Within ninety (90) days of the conclusion of this document-retention period, upon request by either Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants shall produce or make available for inspection any non-privileged records or documents at a mutually convenient time and place, before destroying any such records or documents.
- 43. In addition to the opportunity to obtain documents at the conclusion of the document-retention period set forth in the preceding Paragraph, either Plaintiff may request, at any time during the document-retention period, that a Settling Defendant make available for United States and State of California v. 22 Consent Decree

26

27

28

inspection or, at the Settling Defendant's option, produce, any non-privileged documents retained pursuant to the preceding Paragraph. The Settling Defendant receiving such request shall produce or make available for inspection non-privileged documents at a mutually convenient time and place after such request is made.

- With respect to the obligation to retain, produce, or make available records as set 44. forth in this Section, the Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents or records are privileged under the attorney/client privilege or any other privilege recognized under applicable law. If any Settling Defendant asserts any such privilege, it shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following information relating to any documents or records that are requested and withheld as privileged: (1) title of document or record; (2) date of document or record; (3) name and position of the author of the document or record; (4) description of the subject of the document or record; and (5) the specific basis for the privilege asserted. The privilege log relating to the subject documents must be produced to the Plaintiffs at a mutually convenient time and place after Plaintiffs request the documents that are withheld. Settling Defendants shall retain the documents that are withheld as privileged, until any privilege disputes relating to those documents are resolved. However, no final documents, reports created, or data generated pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree shall be withheld on grounds of privilege.
- This Section in no way affects or limits any obligation of the Settling Defendants 45. to retain records under any other administrative or judicial order or agreement, whether such order or agreement is currently extant or created in the future. Further, this Section in no way affects or limits any obligation of the Settling Defendants to retain records under any other judicial, statutory, or common law doctrine that would otherwise require retention of records, nor does this Paragraph limit the information-gathering authorities of the Plaintiffs under any applicable federal or state laws or regulations.
- 46. Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies individually that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed, or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information (other than identical copies) relating to its potential liability regarding Natural Resource Damages with respect to the United States and State of California v. 23 Consent Decree

Guadalupe River Watershed since notification of potential liability by the Plaintiffs and that it has fully complied with any and all of Plaintiffs' prior requests for information with respect to this site, pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), or other applicable federal or state laws or regulations.

# XV. CERTIFICATE AND SIGNATURE

- 47. Each Defendant certifies by affixing its signature to this Decree that the Work that it has agreed to perform under the Decree is not an activity that it is legally obligated to perform by any other permit, lawsuit, administrative proceeding, or other process. The certification provided by the preceding sentence shall not be deemed to be invalid where Work performed pursuant to this Decree complements obligations undertaken pursuant to other permits, lawsuits, administrative proceedings, the TMDL, or Other Processes, including by more precisely specifying the time, place, and/or manner of performance, or by requiring the performance of Work that is only encouraged or contemplated, but not legally guaranteed, by another agreement.
- 48. The undersigned representatives of each Settling Defendant certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Decree and to legally execute and bind that party to this Decree.
- 49. This Consent Decree may be signed in counterparts, and such counterpart signature pages shall be given full force and effect.

# XVI. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

50. This Consent Decree and Sections 4.3.1.1. through 4.3.2.3 of Exhibit B constitute the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding between the Trustees and the Settling Defendants with respect to the settlement embodied in the Decree and supersede all prior agreements and understandings, whether oral or written. Other than Exhibit B, which is attached to and incorporated in this Decree, no other document, nor any representation, inducement, agreement, understanding, or promise, constitutes any part of this Decree or the settlement it represents, nor shall it be used in construing the terms of this Decree.

#### XVII. MODIFICATION

51. The terms of this Consent Decree may be modified only by a subsequent written
United States and State of California v.
County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

24 Consent Decree

agreement signed by all the Parties or as ordered by the Court upon the noticed motion of any Party. The terms and schedules contained in Sections 4.3.1.1 through 4.3.2.3 of Exhibit B of this Decree may be modified upon written agreement of the affected Parties without Court approval. Where any other modification constitutes a material change to any term of this Decree, it shall be effective only upon approval by the Court.

# **XVIII. TERMINATION**

This Consent Decree shall terminate as to each Settling Defendant upon granting 52. of a motion duly filed by that Settling Defendant, demonstrating that such Settling Defendant has, as applicable, paid the amount required by Section V of this Decree, performed the Work required by Section VI of this Decree, and paid any outstanding stipulated penalties under Section XI of this Decree, except that the provisions and effect of Sections VII, VIII, IX, X, XIV; the County's obligation to monitor and maintain the consolidated and encapsulated materials, in accordance with Sections 4.3.1.1 and 4.3.1.2 of Exhibit B; and MROSD's obligation, set forth in Paragraph 10, to maintain Ravenswood Marsh, in perpetuity, as open space and habitat for the Clapper Rail shall survive termination of the Decree.

# XIX. PUBLIC COMMENT

- The Trustees have preliminarily determined that the Work to be performed and the 53. payments to be made pursuant to this Decree constitute appropriate action to protect and restore the natural resources damaged as alleged in the Complaint and satisfy the requirements of Section 122(j)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(2), with respect to each Settling Defendant.
- The Parties acknowledge that this Consent Decree and the draft restoration plan set 54. forth in Exhibit B to this Decree will be subject to a public comment period of not less than thirty (30) days, as provided by 43 C.F.R. § 11.81. Consequently, entry of the Decree after lodging shall be deferred to allow the time necessary for the United States and the State to obtain and evaluate public comment on this Decree and on Exhibit B hereto. The United States and the State of California reserve the right to withdraw their consent to this Decree if comments received disclose facts or considerations that show that this Decree or the draft restoration plan is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this United States and State of California v. 25 Consent Decree

1	
1	Decree by the Court without further notice. The Settling Defendants further agree not to oppose
2	entry of this Consent Decree by the Court or to challenge any provision of the Decree, unless
3	either the United States or CDFG has notified Settling Defendants in writing that it no longer
4	supports entry of the Decree.
5	55. In the event that there is no Date of Final Approval of this Decree, this Decree and
6	the settlement embodied herein is voidable at the discretion of any Party, and the terms hereof
7	may not be used as evidence in any litigation or other proceeding.
8	XX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
9	56. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this case until termination of this Consent
10	Decree, for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court for such further order,
11	direction, or relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this
12	Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in
13	accordance with Section XIII of this Decree (Dispute Resolution).
14	XXI. NOTICE
15	57. Any notice required hereunder shall be in writing and shall be delivered by hand,
16	facsimile or overnight mail as follows:
17	As to the United States:
18	Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division
19	DOI Case #90-11-2-07048 U.S. Department of Justice
20	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
21	and
22	and
23	David B. Glazer United States Department of Justice
24	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, California 94105
25	Tel.: (415) 744-6477  Fax: (415) 744-6476
26	And
27	
28	
	United States and State of California v. 26 Consent Decree County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

Ł		
1 2 3 4	·	Charles McKinley, Esq. Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of the Interior 1111 Jackson Street, Suite 735 Oakland, California 94607 Tel: (510) 817-1461 Fax: (510) 419-0143
5	•	As to State of California:
6		John A. Holland
7		Office of Spill Prevention and Response Department of Fish and Game P.O. Box 160362
8		Sacramento, California 95816-0362 Tel: (916) 445-3153 Fax: (916) 324-5662
10		Or, if by courier or overnight mail, to
11		John A. Holland Office of Spill Prevention and Response
12		Department of Fish and Game 1700 K Street, Suite 250
13		Sacramento, California 95814
14		As to Settling Defendants:
15		As to the County of Santa Clara:
16		Lisa Killough Director Parks and Recreation Department
17		County of Santa Clara 298 Garden Hill Drive
18		Los Gatos, California 59030 Tel: (408) 355-2200
19		Fax: (408) 355-2290
20		and
21		Kathryn A. Berry
22		Office of County Counsel County of Santa Clara
23		70 W. Hedding St., 9th Floor San Jose, California 95110
24		Tel: (408) 299-5900 Fax: (408) 292-7240
25	ji J	As to the Santa Clara Valley Water District:
26	,	Stan Williams CEO
27		Santa Clara Valley Water District 5750 Almaden Expressway
28		San Jose, California 95118-3686
	United States and State of C	alifornia v. 27 Consent Decree

County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

27

Consent Decree

1	Fax (408) 266-0271
2	and
3	Debra Cauble
4	District Counsel Santa Clara Valley Water District
5	5750 Almaden Expressway San Jose, California 95118-3686 Fax (408) 445-1435
6	and
7	
8	Robert Falk Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street
10	San Francisco, California 94105 Fax (415) 268-7522
11	As to Guadalupe Rubbish Disposal Company,
12	Inc.:
13	General Counsel's Office - Western Group Attention: Andrew M. Kenefick
14	Waste Management 7025 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 200
15	Scottsdale, Arizona 85253
16	As to Buckhorn, Inc.:
17	Kevin C. O'Neil Assistant Secretary
18	Buckhorn, Inc.
19	1293 South Main Street Akron, OH 44301
20	Tel: (330) 253-5592 Fax: (330) 761-6166
21	and
22	David D. Cooke
23	Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 12th Floor
24	San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: (415) 837-1515
25	Fax: (415) 837-1516
26	As to Myers Industries Inc.:
27	Kevin C. O'Neil
28	General Counsel

Consent Decree

United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

1	Myers Industries, Inc.
2	1293 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44301 Tol. (330) 353, 5503
3	Tel: (330) 253-5592 Fax: (330) 761-6166
4	and
5	David D. Cooke Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP
6	Three Embarcadero Center, 12th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
7	Tel: (415) 837-1515 Fax: (415) 837-1516
8	1 un. (415) 057 1510
9	As to the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District:
10	<u>= 1911101</u>
11	Attention: General Counsel 330 Distel Circle
12	Los Altos, California 94022-1404
13	As to the City of San Jose:
14	Richard Doyle City Attorney
15	City of San Jose 151 W. Mission Street
16	San Jose, California 95110 Tel: (408) 277-4450
17	and
18	
19	Mollie Dent Senior Deputy City Attorney
20	San Jose City Attorney's Office 151 W. Mission Street
21	San Jose, California 95110 <u>Tel: (408) 277-2405</u>
22	
23	As to Sunoco, Inc.:
24	Thomas J. Haines Senior Counsel
25	Sunoco, Inc.  Law Department
26	1801 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
27	Tel: (215) 977-6273 Fax: (215) 977-6878
28	United States and State of California v.
	Officed States and State of Camorina v. 29 Consent Decree

United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

29

Consent Decree

1	58. Each Party to this Decree may change the person(s) it has designated to receive
2	notice for that Party, or the addresses for such notice, by filing a written notice of such change
3	with the Court and serving said notice on each of the other Parties to this Decree.
4	59. Each Settling Defendant hereby agrees to accept service of process by mail with
5	respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree and to waive the formal
6	service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any
7	applicable Local Rules of this Court including, but not limited to, service of a summons.
8	XXII. JUDGMENT
9	60. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree
10	shall constitute a final judgment between the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants. The Court
11	finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment
12	under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED
14	
15	DATED:
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	,'
25	į. Į
26	
27	
28	
	United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV  Consent Decree

1	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:				
2	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the Consent Decree in <u>United States</u> , et al. v. <u>County of Santa Clara</u> , et al., subject to the public notice and comment requirements of Section XIX of this Consent Decree				
4	4				
5					
6	Dated: July 25	. 2005			
7		, = 0 00	KELLY K. JOHNSON Acting Assistant Attorney General		
8			Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice		
9					
10	Dated:	, 2005			
11			DAVID B. GLAZER Natural Resources Section		
12			Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice		
13			301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, California 94105		
14			Telephone: (415) 744-6491 Facsimile: (415) 744-6476		
15			•		
16					
17					
18	OF COUNSEL				
19	CHARLES C. McKINLEY, ESQ. Assistant Field Solicitor				
20	U.S. Department of the Interior 1111 Jackson Street				
21	Oakland, California 94607				
22					
23					
24	;				
25	ؿ				
26	,				
27					
28					

United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV

I	FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME						
2	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the Consent Decree in <u>United States</u> , et al. v. County of Santa Clara, et al., subject to the public notice and comment requirements of						
3	County of Santa Clara, et al., subject to the public notice and comment requirements of Section XIX of this Consent Decree:						
4							
5	Dated:, 2005 L. RYAN BRODDRICK						
6	Director California Department of Fish and Game						
7	Cantonna Deparament of Fish and Came						
8							
9							
10							
11							
12	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California						
13	GAVIN G. McCABE, Deputy Attorney General						
14							
15							
16	By:GAVIN G. McCABE						
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff California Department of Fish and Game						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV  32 Consent Decree						

1	FOR THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION				
2	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the Consent Decree in <u>United States</u> , et al. v. <u>County of Santa Clara</u> , et al., subject to the public notice and comment requirements of				
3	Section XIX of this Consent Decree:				
4					
5	Dated:, 2005 PAUL D. THAYER Executive Officer				
6 7	State Lands Commission				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General				
13	of the State of California GAVIN G. McCABE,				
14	Deputy Attorney General				
15					
16	By:				
17	GAVIN G. McCABE Attorneys for Plaintiff California State Lands Commission				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	;				
25	÷				
26	j.				
27					
28					
	United States and State of California v. County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV  33 Consent Decree				

1	FOR THE CALIFOR	NIA DEPARTMENT C	OF TRANSPORTATION
2	WE HEREBY County of Santa Clara	CONSENT to the entry a, et al., subject to the pro-	y of the Consent Decree in <u>United States</u> , et al. vublic notice and comment requirements of
3	Section XIX of this C	onsent Decree:	
4	٠,,		
5	Dated:	, 2005	GARY R. WINTERS
6			Chief
7			Division of Environmental Analysis California Department of Transportation
8			
9	. 2 cm <sup>2</sup>		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	·		
24		, ,	
25		۵	
26	•	ė P	
27			
28			

1	FOR SETTLING DEFENDANTS:						
2	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the Consent Decree in <u>United Stated</u> , <u>et al.</u> v. <u>County of Santa Clara</u> , <u>et al.</u> :						
3							
4	Dated:, 2005						
5	Title:						
6	Address:						
7							
8							
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-Signed Party:						
10							
11	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-Signed Party:						
12	Name (print): Title:						
13	Title:Address:						
14	Ph. Number:						
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24	;						
25	<b>,</b>						
26							
27							
28							
	United States and State of California v.  County of Santa Clara, et al., No. CV  35  Consent Decree						